

A NOTE ON NOETHERIAN TYPE OF SPACES

LAJOS SOUKUP

ABSTRACT. The *Noetherian type* of a space X , $Nt(X)$, is the least cardinal κ such that X has a base $\mathcal{B}$ such that $|\{B' \in \mathcal{B} : B \subset B'\}| < \kappa$ for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

Denote $2_\delta^{\aleph_\omega}$ the space obtained from $2^{\aleph_\omega}$ by declaring the G_δ sets to be open. Milovich proved that if $\square_{\aleph_\omega}$ holds and $(\aleph_\omega)^\omega = \aleph_{\omega+1}$ then $Nt(2_\delta^{\aleph_\omega}) = \omega_1$. Answering a question of Spadaro, we show that if $(\aleph_\omega)^\omega = \aleph_{\omega+1}$ and $(\aleph_{\omega+1}, \aleph_\omega) \rightarrow (\aleph_1, \aleph_0)$ then $Nt(2_\delta^{\aleph_\omega}) \geq \omega_2$.

Definition 1. Let X be a topological space.

- (1) The *Noetherian type* of X , $Nt(X)$, is the least cardinal κ such that X has a base $\mathcal{B}$ such that $|\{B' \in \mathcal{B} : B \subset B'\}| < \kappa$ for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$.
- (2) The *local Noetherian type* of a point x in X , $\chi Nt(x, X)$, is the least cardinal κ such that x has a local base $\mathcal{B}$ in X such that $|\{B' \in \mathcal{B} : B \subset B'\}| < \kappa$ for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$. The *local Noetherian type* of a space X , $\chi Nt(X)$, is defined by the formula $\chi Nt(X) = \sup\{\chi Nt(x, X) : x \in X\}$.

For a topological space X , let X_δ denote the space obtained by declaring the G_δ -sets to be open.

Theorem 2 (Spadaro). (*GCH*) Let X be a compact space such that $Nt(X)$ has uncountable cofinality. Then $Nt(X_\delta) \leq 2^{Nt(X)}$.

He asked what happens if $Nt(X)$ has countable cofinality or if we drop GCH. Since Milovich, [2], proved that if X is compact dyadic homogeneous space then $Nt(X) = \omega$, Theorem 2 does not apply to the Cantor cubes. However Milovich also proved that $Nt(2_\delta^\kappa) = \omega_1$ for $\kappa < \aleph_\omega$. So the simplest unsettled case remained $\kappa = \aleph_\omega$.

Spadaro formulated the following question:

Question 3. Is $Nt(2_\delta^{\aleph_\omega}) = \mathfrak{c}^+$?

Theorem 4 (Milovich). If $\square_{\aleph_\omega}$ holds and $(\aleph_\omega)^\omega = \aleph_{\omega+1}$ then $Nt(2_\delta^{\aleph_\omega}) = \omega_1$.

We prove the following result:

Theorem 5. If $(\aleph_\omega)^\omega = \aleph_{\omega+1}$ and $(\aleph_{\omega+1}, \aleph_\omega) \rightarrow (\aleph_1, \aleph_0)$ then $Nt(2_\delta^{\aleph_\omega}) \geq \omega_2$.

Recall that $(\kappa, \lambda) \rightarrow (\mu, \nu)$ is the following statement:

For any structure $\mathcal{A} = (A, U, \dots)$ of countable signature with $|A| = \kappa$, $U \subseteq A$ and $|U| = \lambda$, there is an elementary substructure $\mathcal{A}' = (A', U', \dots)$ of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $|A'| = \mu$ and $|U'| = \nu$.

Date: March 17, 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 54A35, 03E35.

Key words and phrases. Noetherian type, Chang Conjecture, large cardinals, G_δ topology.

In [1], a model of ZFC + GCH + Chang's Conjecture for $\aleph_\omega$, i.e. $(\aleph_{\omega+1}, \aleph_\omega) \twoheadrightarrow (\aleph_1, \aleph_0)$, was constructed starting from some quite strong large cardinal assumption.

Theorem 5 will follow easily from Theorem 6 below.

Theorem 6. *Assume that $(\aleph_\omega)^\omega = \aleph_{\omega+1}$ and $(\aleph_{\omega+1}, \aleph_\omega) \twoheadrightarrow (\aleph_1, \aleph_0)$. If $\mathcal{D}$ is cofinal in $\langle [\aleph_\omega]^\omega, \subseteq \rangle$, then there is $A \in [\aleph_\omega]^\omega$ such that $|\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{P}(A)| > \omega$.*

Proof of Theorem 6. Assume on the contrary that $\mathcal{D}$ is cofinal, but $|\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{P}(A)| \leq \omega$ for each $A \in [\aleph_\omega]^\omega$.

Let us fix an enumeration $\{a_\alpha : \alpha < \aleph_{\omega+1}\}$ of $[\aleph_\omega]^{\aleph_0}$ without repetition. Consider

- (1) the relation $E = \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle : \alpha \in \aleph_\omega, \beta \in \aleph_{\omega+1}, \alpha \in a_\beta\}$,
- (2) a function $f : \aleph_{\omega+1} \rightarrow \aleph_{\omega+1}$ such that $a_\alpha \subset a_{f(\alpha)} \in \mathcal{D}$ for each $\alpha < \aleph_{\omega+1}$,
- (3) a function $g : \aleph_{\omega+1} \times \aleph_{\omega+1} \rightarrow \aleph_\omega$ such that, $g(\alpha, \cdot) \upharpoonright \alpha$ is an injective mapping from α to $\aleph_\omega$ for each $\alpha \in \aleph_{\omega+1}$,
- (4) a function $h : \aleph_{\omega+1} \times \aleph_{\omega+1} \times \aleph_{\omega+1} \rightarrow \omega$ such that for each $\alpha, \beta \in \aleph_{\omega+1}$, the function $h(\alpha, \beta, \cdot)$ is a bijection between $a_\alpha \setminus a_\beta$ and an initial segment of ω ,

and consider the structure:

$$\mathcal{A} = (\aleph_{\omega+1}, \aleph_\omega, \leq, E, f, g, h),$$

where $\leq$ is the natural ordering on $\aleph_{\omega+1}$.

By (4) and since ω is definable in $\mathcal{A}$, we can express “ $a_\alpha \setminus a_\beta$ is infinite” as a formula $\varphi(\alpha, \beta)$ in the language of $\mathcal{A}$. Now, applying the Chang's conjecture for $\mathcal{A}$ with $A = \aleph_{\omega+1}$ and $U = \aleph_\omega$, we obtain elementary substructure $\mathcal{A}' = (A', U', \leq', E', f', g', h')$ of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $|A'| = \aleph_1$ and $|U'| = \aleph_0$.

Claim 6.1. $otp(A') = \omega_1$.

Proof of the Claim. By (3) and elementarity of $\mathcal{A}'$, every initial segment of A' can be mapped into U' injectively and hence countable. Since $|A'| = \aleph_1$, it follows that $otp(A') = \omega_1$. □

Claim 6.2. *For any $\alpha < \aleph_{\omega+1}$, there is $\gamma < \aleph_{\omega+1}$ such that $a_\gamma \setminus a_\beta$ is infinite for every $\beta < \alpha$.*

Proof of the Claim. Since $|\alpha| \leq \aleph_\omega$, we can find a partition $\{I_n : n \in \omega\}$ of α such that $|I_n| < \aleph_\omega$ for every $n < \omega$. For $n < \omega$, let

$$\eta_n = \min\left(\aleph_\omega \setminus \left(\{\eta_i : i < n\} \cup \bigcup_{m \leq n} \{a_\xi : \xi \in I_m\}\right)\right),$$

and let $\gamma < \aleph_{\omega+1}$ be such that $a_\gamma = \{\eta_n : n \in \omega\}$. For any $\beta < \alpha$, if $\beta \in I_m$ for some $m < \omega$, then we have $a_\beta \cap a_\gamma \subseteq \{\eta_n : n < m\}$. Thus this γ is as desired. □

Claim 6.3. *For any countable $I \subseteq A'$, there is $\gamma \in A'$ such that $a_\gamma \setminus a_\beta$ is infinite for every $\beta \in I$.*

Proof of the Claim. By Claim 6.1, there is $\alpha \in A'$ such that $I \subseteq \alpha$. By elementarity of $\mathcal{A}'$, the formula with the parameter α expressing the statement of Claim 6.2 for this α holds in $\mathcal{A}'$. Hence there is some $\gamma \in A'$ such that $a_\gamma \setminus a_\beta$ is infinite for every $\beta \in A' \cap \alpha$. □

After this preparation we are ready to proof Theorem 6. Let

$$I = \{f(\xi) : \xi \in A'\}.$$

Since A' is f -closed, we have $I \subset U'$. If $\zeta \in A'$ then $a_\zeta \subset U$, so $\{a_\zeta : \zeta \in I\} = \{a_{f(\xi)} : \xi \in A'\} \subset \mathcal{P}(U')$ for $\xi \in A'$. So $|I| \leq \omega$ because $a_{f(\xi)} \in \mathcal{D}$ and $|\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{P}(U')| \leq \omega$. Hence, by 6.3, there is $\gamma \in A'$ such that $a_\gamma \setminus a_{\beta'}$ is infinite for every $\beta' \in I$. Consider the ordinal $\beta = f(\gamma)$. Then $a_\gamma \setminus a_\beta$ should be infinite because $\beta = f(\gamma) \in I$. On the other hand, $a_\beta = a_{f(\gamma)} \supset a_\gamma$, so $a_\gamma \setminus a_\beta = \emptyset$. This contradiction proves the theorem. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 5. We show that $\chi Nt(x, 2^{\aleph_\omega}) = \omega_2$ for each $x \in 2^{\aleph_\omega}$.

Assume to the contrary that x has a local base $\mathcal{B}$ in X such that

$$(1) \quad |\{B' \in \mathcal{B} : B \subset B'\}| \leq \omega \text{ for each } B \in \mathcal{B}.$$

We can assume that $B = [x \upharpoonright d_B]$ for some $d_B \in [\aleph_\omega]^\omega$. Consider the family $\mathcal{D} = \{d_B : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$. Then

- (a) $\mathcal{D}$ is cofinal in $\langle [\aleph_\omega]^\omega, \subseteq \rangle$,
- (b) $|\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{P}(A)| \leq \omega$ for each $A \in [\aleph_\omega]^\omega$.

Observe that $[x \upharpoonright D] \subset [x \upharpoonright A]$ iff $D \supset A$. So (a) holds because $\mathcal{B}$ is a local base of x , and 1 implies (b). However, this contradicts Theorem 6. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-P. Levinski, M. Magidor and S. Shelah, On Chang's conjecture for $\aleph_\omega$, Israel Journal of Mathematics, 69, (1990), 161–172.
- [2] Milovich D., Noetherian type of homogenous compacta and dyadic compacta, Topology Appl. 156 (2008), no.2, 443–464.
- [3] Milovich, D, *Splitting families and the Noetherian type of $\beta\omega \setminus \omega$* . J. Symbolic Logic 73 (2008), no. 4, 1289–1306.

ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, HUNGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

E-mail address: soukup@renyi.hu

URL: <http://www.math-inst.hu/soukup>